1		NIID
1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)	
2	charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)	
3	davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com	
4	Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com	
5	John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com	
	50 California Street, 22 nd Floor	
7	San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
8	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
9	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
14	vs.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ORDER
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	SETTING FURTHER HEARING ON MOTION TO STRIKE ASSERTED
16	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	TRADE SECRET NUMBER 96 AND SUPPLEMENT THERETO (DKT. NOS.
17	Defendants.	1408 AND 1416)
	Bolondants.	
18		I
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

01980-00104/9523302.1

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, and the terms of the Court's Order Setting Further

Hearing on Motion to Strike Asserted Trade Secret Number 96 ("Court's Order") (Dkt. 1408) and

the Supplement Thereto ("Court's Supplement") (Dkt. 1416), Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo")

respectfully requests to file under seal portions of the Court's Order (Dkt. 1408) and the Court's

Supplement (Dkt. 1416). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal

Portions to Be Filed

Under Seal

Highlighted Portions

Highlighted Portions

Designating Party

Waymo (green highlighting)

Waymo (green highlighting)

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

the portions of the document as listed below:

Court's Order (Dkt. 1408)

Document

Court's Supplement (Dkt. 1416)

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.* In the context of non-dispositive motions, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)).

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of the Court's Order and the Court's Supplement (portions highlighted green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because, in context, there is a high likelihood that this information tends to reveal Waymo's trade secrets. *See* Declaration of Felipe Corredor ("Corredor Decl.") ¶¶ 3-5. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14-cv-03078, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Comme'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428, 2013 WL

2728

01980-00104/9523302.1

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

1	211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely		
2	of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets"). Confidential business information that, if released, may		
3	"harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.,		
4	435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal information tending to reveal trade secrets that fit		
5	squarely within these categories. Corredor Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade		
6	secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security		
7	protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). See Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its		
8	requests to only information meriting sealing. <i>Id.</i> \P 5. In fact, both <i>Music Group</i> and <i>Brocade</i> found		
9	the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard		
10	for sealing. Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; Brocade, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The		
11	information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure		
12	of Waymo's trade secret information would harm Waymo. Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope		
13	of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal		
14	that have already been granted by the Court in this case. (See, e.g., Dkt. 416, 414, 406, 393, 392.)		
15	Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.		
16	III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
17	In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the		
18	above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,		
19	Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under		
20	seal.		
21			
22	DATED: August 31, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		

By /s/ Charles Verhoeven Charles Verhoeven

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC